- 1) As administrators, we have to decide which traffic is latency sensitive. This requires that c ertain traffic require priority over other traffic. Voice and streaming video should have a hi gher priority for making it through to the customer than other traffic which can retransmit packets and still be fine.
- 2) There is no difference between internet traffic prioritization than sending other types of "p ackages". I pay more for FedEx overnight than standard ground. When we submit 1 form to the government it has a 30 day response, but another may have 120 days. This is prior itization. If we are going to apply their logic, it should be applied universally. I can get my passport from the government during the normal process, of pay an "expdite fee" and get my passport a higher priority for to be processed.
- 3) Equipment has limits, as admins we need to make the best use of it.
- 4) Let's implement it across the board.

a -

Equipment should neither be locked into the hardware vendor's software (run different O S on my Canopy radio) nor the vendor's software be required to run on certain vendor ha rdware (see Apple OS licensed and modified to only run on a Mac which is identical to an y other Intel PC).

b -

There are no "upgrade" license fees or other false restrictions allowed. Canopy AP/BH/S M radios are all the same physically and so we should be allowed to choose not the vend or.

C -

Government budget would dispense all monies equally. If there are 100 agencies, they a ll get 1/100th of the budget. But wait, they don't because some have PRIORITY!

- 5) ISP's are being included in lawsuits regarding illegal sharing of music and videos. If we block it, we catch crap from these guys, if we allow it we catch crap from the other side.
- 6) Free Press shows their incompetence and twisting of the truth by comparing private companies and their networks to "so is the Chinese government's censorship." This is not government censorship. It is private companies running their networks how they are allowed to do. The programs that they use create dramatic drains on system resources. These programs, once th site becomes popular, opens so many connections that it brings networks and network equipment to its knees.
- 7) And last. These networks are privately owned. There are competitive options. If you don't like the provider's policies, don't use their network. It is just like at home with the kids: My house, my rules. Don't like my rules, you know where the door is.

We are the ones who spent millions building these networks, not the end user. If they want to set up their own rules, they can build their own network. Nothing is stopping them. For some reason, these groups, usually academics and students believe that the Internet and everything connected to it is public and free. It isn't. Circuits and bandwidth are expensive. I guess that is why they only complain about not getting to do their illegal file sharing instead of building free networks with their own money.